

**Institute of Professional Legal Studies**  
**Admissions Test December 2024**  
**Section B**

- ☐ 1. Cillian Kelly could be prosecuted for theft of a bottle of vodka, the property of Cathal O'Donnell, contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
- ☐ 2. Cillian Kelly could be prosecuted for burglary of a bottle of vodka, the property of Cathal O'Donnell, from a marquee belonging to Paddy McQuillan, contrary to Section 9 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
- ☐ 3. Cillian Kelly could be prosecuted for handling stolen goods, namely a bottle of vodka, the property of Cathal O'Donnell, contrary to Section 21 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
- ☐ 4. Cillian Kelly could be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging or destroying property, namely a bottle of vodka, the property of Cathal O'Donnell, contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
- ☐ 5. Beth McDowell could be prosecuted for abducting a cat belonging to Diana Reavy, contrary to Section 2(1) of the Pet Abduction Act 2024.
- ☐ 6. Declan O'Reilly could be prosecuted for obstructing a constable in the execution of his duty, contrary to Section 66 of the Police (Northern Ireland) Act 1998.
- ☐ 7. Declan O'Reilly could be prosecuted for having a controlled drug in his possession, contrary to Section 5(1) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
- ☐ 8. Declan O'Reilly could be prosecuted for having a controlled drug in his possession, with intent to supply to another, contrary to Section 5(3) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
- ☐ 9. Eddie Green could be prosecuted for permitting another person to use a motor vehicle on a road or other public place without there being in force in relation to the user of the vehicle by that person, a policy of insurance in respect of third-party risks, contrary to Article 90 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
- ☐ 10. Ethan Heatherington could be prosecuted for driving a motor vehicle on a road or other public place, after consuming so much alcohol that the proportion of it in his breath exceeds the prescribed limit, contrary to Article 16 of The Road Traffic Order (Northern Ireland) 1995.
- ☐ 11. Ethan Heatherington could be prosecuted for driving a motor vehicle on a road or other public place, after consuming so much alcohol that the proportion of it in his blood exceeds the prescribed limit, contrary to Article 16 of The Road Traffic Order (Northern Ireland) 1995.
- ☐ 12. Feargal O'Neill could be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Lily Blair, contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.

**Institute of Professional Legal Studies**  
**Admissions Test December 2024**  
**Section B**

- ☐ 13. Feargal O'Neill could be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Lily Blair, thereby occasioning her actual bodily harm, contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
- ☐ 14. Feargal O'Neill could be prosecuted for unlawfully and maliciously administering poison to another person, namely Lily Blair, thereby causing her to suffer grievous bodily harm, contrary to Section 23 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
- ☐ 15. Gerard Byrne could be prosecuted for disorderly behaviour, contrary to Article 18 of The Public Order (Northern Ireland) order 1987.
- ☐ 16. Gerard Byrne could be prosecuted for being drunk in a public place, contrary to Article 10 of the Criminal Justice (Northern Ireland) Order 1980.
- ☐ 17. Gerard Byrne could be prosecuted for obstructing a constable in the execution of his duty, contrary to Section 66 of the Police (Northern Ireland) Act 1998.
- ☐ 18. Ian Morrow could be prosecuted for theft of a bird's egg, property of Peter McKnight, contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
- ☐ 19. Ian Morrow could be prosecuted for burglary of a bird's egg, the property of Peter McKnight, from a tree belonging to Peter McKnight, contrary to Section 9 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
- ☐ 20. Ian Morrow could be prosecuted for taking the egg of a wild bird, contrary to Article 4(1)(c) of the Wildlife (Northern Ireland) Order 1985
- ☐ 21. Jackson Smith could be prosecuted for knowingly permitting Noah Adams to supply a controlled drug to Declan O'Reilly, contrary to Section 8 of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
- ☐ 22. Jed McDowell could be prosecuted for abducting a cat belonging to Diana Reavy, contrary to Section 2(1) of the Pet Abduction Act 2024.
- ☐ 23. Kerrs Limited could be prosecuted for failing to provide Richard Pitt with adequate and suitable protective clothing for work, contrary to Article 31 of the Health and Safety at Work (Northern Ireland) Order 1978 and Regulation 4(1) of the Personal Protective Equipment at Work Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1993.
- ☐ 24. Leo McGeown could be prosecuted for aggravated trespass, contrary to Section 68(1) of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.
- ☐ 25. Leo McGeown could be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging or destroying property, namely packaging, the property of Danny Maxwell, contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.

**Institute of Professional Legal Studies**  
**Admissions Test December 2024**  
**Section B**

- ☐ 26. Leo McGeown could be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging or destroying property by fire, namely packaging, the property of Danny Maxwell, contrary to Article 3(1) and (3) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
- ☐ 27. Lesley Cunningham could be prosecuted for using a mobile phone while driving, contrary to Article 56A of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
- ☐ 28. Lesley Cunningham could be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road without due care and attention, contrary to Article 12 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
- ☐ 29. Lesley Cunningham could be prosecuted for causing grievous bodily injury to a person by driving a mechanically propelled vehicle dangerously on a road, contrary to Article 9 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
- ☐ 30. Lesley Cunningham could be prosecuted for failing to stop a vehicle at or near the scene of a road traffic accident, contrary to Article 175(1)(c)(i) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
- ☐ 31. Lesley Cunningham could be prosecuted for failing to keep a vehicle stationary at or near the scene of a road traffic accident, contrary to Article 175(1)(c)(ii) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
- ☐ 32. Lesley Cunningham could be prosecuted for failing to provide information, contrary to Article 175(1)(c)(iii) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
- ☐ 33. Lesley Cunningham could be prosecuted for resisting a constable in the execution of his duty, contrary to Section 66 of the Police (Northern Ireland) Act 1998.
- ☐ 34. Mark Jones could be prosecuted for burglary of the keys of a motor vehicle, the property of Eddie Green, from a Volkswagen Golf Mark 1 GTI, belonging to Eddie Green, contrary to Section 9 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
- ☐ 35. Mark Jones could be prosecuted for taking a vehicle, the property of Eddie Green, without the owner's consent or other authority, contrary to Article 172(1) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
- ☐ 36. Mark Jones could be prosecuted for theft of a motor vehicle, the property of Eddie Green, contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
- ☐ 37. Mark Jones could be prosecuted for using a motor vehicle on a road or other public place without there being in force in relation to the user of the vehicle by that person, a policy of insurance in

**Institute of Professional Legal Studies**  
**Admissions Test December 2024**  
**Section B**

respect of third-party risks, contrary to Article 90(1) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.

- ☐ 38. Mark Jones could be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road without due care and attention, contrary to Article 12 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
- ☐ 39. Mark Jones could be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle dangerously on a road, contrary to Article 10 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
- ☐ 40. Mark Jones could be prosecuted for failing to stop a vehicle at or near the scene of a road traffic accident, contrary to Article 175(1)(c)(i) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
- ☐ 41. Mark Jones could be prosecuted for failing to provide information, contrary to Article 175(1)(c)(iv) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
- ☐ 42. Neil Kerr could be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Richard Pitt, contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
- ☐ 43. Neil Kerr could be prosecuted for causing grievous bodily harm to Richard Pitt, contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
- ☐ 44. Noah Adams could be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Paula Rodgers, contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
- ☐ 45. Noah Adams could be prosecuted for having a controlled drug in his possession, contrary to Section 5(1) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
- ☐ 46. Noah Adams could be prosecuted for supply of a controlled drug to another, contrary to Section 4(1)(b) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
- ☐ 47. Noah Adams could be prosecuted for having a controlled drug in his possession, with intent to supply to another, contrary to Section 5(3) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
- ☐ 48. Orla Reavy could be prosecuted for being the occupier of a large shop open on a Sunday, contrary to Article 3(1) of The Shops (Sunday Trading &c.) (Northern Ireland) Order 1997.
- ☐ 49. Orla Reavy could be prosecuted for removing the appropriate durability indication labelling on the packaging of Gillespies shortbread contrary to Regulation 44(e) of The Food Labelling Regulations 1996
- ☐ 50. Pamela Lee could be prosecuted for disorderly behaviour, contrary to Article 18 of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.

**Institute of Professional Legal Studies**  
**Admissions Test December 2024**  
**Section B**

- ☐ 51. Pamela Lee could be prosecuted for being drunk in a public place, contrary to Article 10 of the Criminal Justice (Northern Ireland) Order 1980.
- ☐ 52. Pamela Lee could be prosecuted for obstructing a constable in the execution of his duty, contrary to Section 66 of the Police (Northern Ireland) Act 1998.
- ☐ 53. Paula Rodgers could be prosecuted for theft of an ornate knife, the property of Fred Dowds, contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
- ☐ 54. Paula Rodgers could be prosecuted with possession of an offensive weapon, namely an ornate knife, contrary to Article 22(1) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
- ☐ 55. Peter McKnight could be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging or destroying property, namely dahlia tubers, property of Stuart Smyth, contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
- ☐ 56. Peter McKnight could be prosecuted for topping a tree in contravention of a tree preservation order in such a manner likely to destroy it, contrary to Section 126(1) of the Planning Act (Northern Ireland) 2011.
- ☐ 57. Peter McKnight could be prosecuted for harassment of Danny Maxwell, contrary to Article 4 of the Protection from Harassment (Northern Ireland) Order 1997.
- ☐ 58. Rebecca Nixon could be prosecuted for dishonestly making a false representation, intending, by making the representation to make a gain for herself, contrary to Section 2 of the Fraud Act 2006.
- ☐ 59. Richard Pitt could be prosecuted for damaging the nest of a wild bird, contrary to Article 4(1)(b) of the Wildlife (Northern Ireland) Order 1985.
- ☐ 60. Richard Pitt could be prosecuted for theft of a bird's egg, property of Peter McKnight, contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
- ☐ 61. Richard Pitt could be prosecuted for burglary of a bird's egg, the property of Peter McKnight, from a tree belonging to Peter McKnight, contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
- ☐ 62. Richard Pitt could be prosecuted for taking the egg of a wild bird, contrary to Article 4(1)(c) of the Wildlife (Northern Ireland) Order 1985.
- ☐ 63. Richard Pitt could be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging or destroying property, namely a tractor, the property of Stuart Smyth, contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.

--	--	--	--

**Institute of Professional Legal Studies**  
**Admissions Test December 2024**  
**Section B**

- ☐ 64. Rosaleen Donaghy could be prosecuted for attempting to drive a motor vehicle on a road or other public place, after consuming so much alcohol that the proportion of it in her breath exceeds the prescribed limit, contrary to Article 16(1)(a) of the Road Traffic Order (Northern Ireland) 1995.
- ☐ 65. Rosaleen Donaghy could be prosecuted for being in charge of a motor vehicle on a road or other public place, after consuming so much alcohol that the proportion of it in her breath exceeds the prescribed limit, contrary to Article 16(1)(a) of the Road Traffic Order (Northern Ireland) 1995.
- ☐ 66. Rosaleen Donaghy could be prosecuted for being in charge of a motor vehicle on a road or other public place, after consuming so much alcohol that the proportion of it in her blood exceeds the prescribed limit, contrary to Article 16(1)(b) of the Road Traffic Order (Northern Ireland) 1995.
- ☐ 67. Sarah Thompson could be prosecuted for smoking in a smoke-free place, contrary to Article 8 of the Smoking (Northern Ireland) Order 2006 and Regulation 12 of the Smoke -Free (Exemptions, Vehicles, Penalties and Discounted Amounts Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2007.
- ☐ 68. Stuart Smyth could be prosecuted for unlawfully making a threat to kill Richard Pitt, contrary to Section 16 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
- ☐ 69. Stuart Smyth could be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Richard Pitt, contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
- ☐ 70. Stuart Smyth could be prosecuted for causing grievous bodily harm with intent to Richard Pitt, contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.